

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,242		02/06/2001	Matt Beaumont	07319/096001	4078
20985	7590	09/25/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		LAGE DRIVE		LAVARIAS,	, ARNEL C
SAN DIEG	D, CA 92	2122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2872	
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/778,242	BEAUMONT, MATT	D
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
:		Arnel C. Lavaria	2872	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cov	r sheet with the correspondence address	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mi d will apply and will expire tte, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be timely filed  timum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 August 2003 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) 2	This action is non-f	nal.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			S
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-33 is/are pending in the	e application.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,6 and 9-33</u> is/are	withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-2, 7-8</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.	
	on Papers	•		
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.		
10)□ 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be he	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□ 1	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approv	ed b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office ac	tion.	
12)[] T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	ived.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	ived in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents ha	eve been received in this National Stage (17.2(a)).	
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio	on).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional applicati	on has been received.	
Attachment(	(s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	
S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 1	— ¬

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The amendments to the specification of the disclosure in Paper No. 12, dated 8/5/03, are acknowledged and accepted.

#### Response to Arguments

- 2. The Applicant argues that both Katagiri '724 and Mactaggart fail to teach or reasonably suggest an apparatus having an optical device including an optical filter and a memory unit, storing calibration data for the specific optical filter, which calibration data relates to optical characteristics which are individual to the specific optical filter in the optical device. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Katagiri '724 specifically teaches that calibration data specific to filter 90 is stored in ROM (See for example col. 22, lines 5-63). Similarly, Mactaggart teaches the use of a calibration table specific to filter 24 being stored in memory (See for example col. 6, line 55-col. 7, line 19). The Examiner notes that the recited limitations in the claims fail to disclose the optical device of the apparatus having more than one optical filter, or the one optical filter being replaced by another, different optical filter. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 3. The Applicant also argues that Katagiri '724 and Mactaggart fail to teach or reasonably suggest the memory table including a list of specified colors, and positions for

Application/Control Number: 09/778,242

Art Unit: 2872

the specified colors. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Both Katagiri '724 and Mactaggart disclose calibration data for the optical filter being stored in memory. The calibration data includes wavelength data (See col. 22, lines 5-63 of Katagiri '724; col. 6, line 55-col. 7, line 19). The Examiner notes that wavelength is inherently correlated to color, as is known from electromagnetics and the electromagnetic spectrum. Thus, calibration data that includes wavelength information would necessarily also include color information.

4. Claims 1-2, 7-8 are rejected as follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 6. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katagiri et al.

  (U.S. Patent No. 6359724 or '724), of record.
  - See Section 8 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.
- 7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mactaggart (U.S. Patent No. 4602160), of record.

See Section 9 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,242

Art Unit: 2872

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katagiri '724 in view of So (U.S. Patent No. 5729347), of record.

See Section 11 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.

10. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mactaggart in view of So.

See Section 12 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.

#### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Art Unit: 2872

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 703-305-4007. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 703-305-0024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Arnel C. Lavarias

9/22/03